



Impact of Home Environment, Influence of the Peer on the Development of the Young Adolescents: A Study

Dr. Sylvia Fernandez Rao*¹, & Thirupathi Naik Bukya²

¹Research Supervisor, SCIENTIST E, NIN HYDERABAD

²Research Scholar, Department of Psychology, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana

Received 29-07-2022	Abstract: Emotional maturity is the capacity to manage intense emotions during adversity. Adolescents frequently experience emotional ups and downs. It is the phase between childhood and adulthood that is defined by physical, psychological, social, and emotional changes. Positive changes are only possible if parents raise their children in a healthy atmosphere. Teenagers prefer to recognise groups of peers based on shared characteristics. Peer group has a significant impact on the personality development of adolescents. When adolescents are in desperate need of parental understanding and support, they thrive and become emotionally stable. This paper examines the impact of adolescents' home environment and peer interaction on their moods, the strengths and weaknesses of adolescent children, and the influence of parents and the peer on them.	Keywords: emotional maturity, adolescent children, healthy atmosphere, parental support, peer support, etc.
Accepted 18-08-2022		
Published 27-08-2022		
Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0) International License.		

INTRODUCTION

The modern world is becoming more and more challenging and currently, alongside great intellectual achievement, the individual quality that most strongly supports a youngster's formative trajectory is his social skill, which refers to one's capacity to effectively dominate the social, close to home, and academic abilities required inside unambiguous settings, as per developmental level and the standards and assumptions for society to make and maintain positive social outcomes (Bierman & Welsh, 2008).

Home is the initial and most significant location for a person's development, relative to other social events. There is a substantial body of evidence from a variety of disciplines that supports the notion that everyday family interactions and relationships with parents are crucial to the development of children's interactive skills (Parke & Ladd, 1992). In addition, children develop social skills through the demonstration and nature of social relationships within their homes. These skills are also associated with personality and broader social characteristics, such as confidence, self-adequacy, bury individual viability, relational skills, and cognitive ability, which are influenced by parental environment.

A child's growth and development occur under specific conditions. This comprises family, educational, and cultural circumstances. These social factors significantly impact the child's physical, cognitive, social, moral, academic, and domestic development (Rawat and Singh, 2016). The house is the primary socialisation unit, and it has a direct relationship with the many developmental stages of children. Immaturity is a

brief stage in a child's life during which the child develops toward adulthood. During the period when a child is developing his or her individuality in social settings, adolescents face a variety of domestic issues.

The development of social conduct skills in youth and adolescence has major implications for change, fulfilment, and prospective life opportunities, as well as for fostering prosperity. Without adequate social capacity, a person may have difficulties in the areas of employment, daily living, independent living, and community participation. Social ability is also essential for a child's successful transition into adulthood. Socially adept individuals are able to elicit good responses from others, collaborate effectively, and are adept at forming warm ties. Moreover, social aptitude has a substantial long-term influence on cognitive, academic, and adaptable functioning (Elliot, 2001).

It is effective with social recognition from peers, positive confidence, and self-confidence (Lund & Merrell, 2001). Socially adept children are likely to demonstrate superior survival and problem-solving skills in a variety of mental conditions. It has been discovered that those who exhibit unseemly social behaviour are less likely to change their relationships with their friends and have more persistent problems throughout their lives.

Family influences have always centred on the development of interaction skills. Previously, (2000) demonstrated that aspects of family functioning, such as closeness and support, are substantial correlates with Social capacity. Superior parent-child relationships are associated with

enhanced social competence. Children who are experiencing family disruption (e.g., conjugal discord, separation) and brokenness were considered as more likely to have bad interpersonal skills (e.g., parental wretchedness, child misuse). Aspects of parents' child-rearing methods and disciplinary approaches, such as warmth, appropriateness, and responsiveness, are associated with their children's social skills, whereas directiveness, unpleasantness, and intimidation were associated with knowledge deficits and behavioural problems.

Erikson (1968) discovered that peer-group relationships are essential for the good character development of adolescents. Pre-adulthood is a unique combination of growing up and adulthood, as it is neither a child nor an adult. Due to physical, psychological, and changes in society, this hybridity causes a problem that results in a variety of close-knit fluctuations. Youths attain adulthood when they can manage and control these substantial fluctuations.

According to Cole (1944), significant development is the capacity to withstand stress and adapt to the general environment. Consequently, a person is seen as genuinely mature when they are not affected by external enhancements. Bessel (2004) defined profound growth as the human behaviour norms that facilitate fruitful life variations. A healthy home environment is necessary for the general success of youth (Rawat & Singh, 2017). If the parents aren't connected to their children or don't spend enough time with them, the teenager will frequently seek harmony and comfort from their peers; they need someone they can rely on. During adolescence, peer group has a crucial influence. It is evident that when a child matures and enters the adolescent era, their affinity for their peer group grows, while their bond with their family lessens significantly. Youth collaborate and respond consciously with their peers (Rubin *et al.*, 2006).

In the wrong peer group, adolescents may also be vulnerable to force or, in extreme cases, mental and physical abuse. Thus, the child alternates between his peers and his parents. They are frequently in limbo, man being pulled to both but unable to choose. Consequently, these peers influence adolescents more than their parents throughout this developmental era. Teens are more likely to make dangerous decisions, and peer group

has a significant influence on shaping their personalities (Gardner *et al.*, 2005).

While peer influence can assist young people increase their confidence and prevent them from engaging in risky behaviour (Burack, 1999), at times, peer pressure can lead to drug usage and dependence among adolescents. Consequently, many persons are familiar with drugs at this age, as revealed by the data. Current families are eroding, and parents have fewer opportunities to discuss their children's questions, concerns, and anxieties. Thus, parents are frequently unaware of the possible dangers their children may face. During this brief period, the youth should have a balanced relationship with both his friends and his parents, with an emphasis on the latter. In any case, parents should comprehend the significance of spending sufficient time with their children so that they do not require affection.

Various initiatives have been adopted in light of the comprehensive nature and need for a healthy family environment and friend influence. Accordingly, the scientist determined to investigate the capability and impact of family climate and companionship on the emotions of adolescents. From this perspective, this study is anticipated to consider dual aims.

- To investigate the impact of home environment on children's profound development.
- To examine the impact of the friend effect on the personal development of adolescents.

The results of the most recent review have been objectively compiled as follows:

Kumar (2014) argued and discovered that family connections influence the closeness to home of young people as a whole. There is a correlation between a teen's favourable self-concept and the home environment. It was believed that parental security, rewards for good behaviour and punishments for bad behaviour, and support would foster a healthy self-image in children (Kaur *et al.*, 2009). Bhut & Zalavadia (2016) found that the home environment is related to the personal dependability of college students.

Yashoda & Devi (2016) discovered that neither the home environment nor the school environment significantly influences the fundamental security of adolescents. Mondal & Sutradhar (2015) investigated the association between home environment and profound

development, and their findings indicated that a stable family environment bolstered juvenile profound security, near to home turn of events, societal change, individual mix, and independence.

Deepshikha & Bhanot (2011) found that home environment characteristics such as union, acknowledgment and mindful, dynamic sporting direction, struggle, independence, affiliation, and control greatly influence the socioemotional development of adolescent girls.

Bhat & Aminabhavi (2001) discovered that adolescents who grew up in homes with strict rules and regulations, were socially separated, and lacked freedom were incapable of tackling problems, made poor decisions, shown reliance, and were unable to manage unexpected emotions.

Those children who were reared in a stable family environment purportedly exhibited decisive reasoning, empathy, and the ability to handle pressure and unexpected emotions with ease. There was a correlation between a person's self-destructive tendencies and authoritarian parenting, low parental neighbourliness, high mother administration, undesirable childrearing practises, and a hostile home environment. A positive home environment protects adolescents against self-destructive ideas. (Lai *et al.*, 2001).

Maughan & Cicchetti (2002) found that the cooperation between parents and children, i.e. the mother and father's relationship, has an alarming effect on the in-house development of children. Matheen (2011) observed that parental temperament influences the development of a child's personality. Acknowledgment mentality of guardians provided positive distinguishing evidence leading to mental well-being, whereas aversion disposition of guardians lowered the juvenile's personality status, resulting in mental distress (Sandhu & Singh 2012). It was demonstrated that adolescents' socially acceptable behaviours are related to parental childhood systems and family climate. This link typically developed through indirect ties to compassion (Carlo, G *et al.*, 2007).

Thakar (2013) examined and discovered that adolescents in metropolitan regions viewed their home environment as more protective and empowering than their peers in places where adolescents were refused honours and discarded. A negligent family environment promotes insecurity

and dependency during adolescence, whereas a healthy home environment fosters a positive self-image and stable emotions. In their review, Bansal *et al.* (2006) demonstrated a substantial positive correlation between a stable family environment and a 'high' level of motivation for growth among successful individuals.

It was discovered that an unsettling home environment decreases accomplishment motivation, hence producing significant problems. Additionally, Rawat & Singh (2017) discovered that family structure influences the personal development of adolescents. It was discovered that youths from blended homes were more mature, socially composed, possessed adequate character traits, and were more self-reliant than those from family units. Sunitha & Khadi (2005) discovered that students in unsupported schools received strong parental assistance, guidance, and support.

Kumar (2015) observed a substantial correlation between the close-to-home dependence of adolescents and their familial environment. Low (control, defence, discipline, similarity, reward, hardship of honours, nurturance, dismissal, and leniency in home climate) home conditions are associated with greater profound strength in adolescents than high (control, defence, discipline, congruity, social detachment, reward, hardship of honours, nurturance, and tolerance) home conditions. Zbon & Smadi (2015) found that in Muslim homes, young people's personal development is enhanced when their needs for security, gratitude, religion, freedom, care, and excitement are addressed. In addition, it was discovered that Islamic instructive practises allude to love, boasting, self-importance, anger, and fear as tactics for controlling a young adult child's emotion.

Rajeshwari & Raj (2017) discovered that the family climate has an alarming effect on children's close to home occurrences. These factors influence a student's degree of close family development: having two guardians, a single parent, or being parentless; living in a joint family or a family unit; being the only child of their parents or having few relatives; having a high or low family status; and residing in a metropolitan or rural area.

Negative ecological conditions may result in a low level of local growth among students, whilst favourable ecological conditions may result in a high level of profound development. The

study's findings indicate that parents can enhance their children's development at home by modifying their children's current surroundings. Young adult viciousness is exacerbated by parental profound rejection, division, severity, and unforgiving discipline, as well as broken homes. Singh *et al.* (2014) discovered a correlation between profound development and family structure among respondents. The significant development of respondents from united families was more notable than that of respondents from family units.

Lashbrook & Jeffrey (2000) identified areas of correlation between peer tension and adolescents' mental states. It was shown that peer pressure causes adolescents to develop gloomy emotions such as insecurity, depression, and humour, resulting in a sense of dishonour. Brendgen *et al.* (2010) discovered a substantial correlation between young people's freak friends and their domestic adjustment. Those adolescents with degenerate partners were tardier and more miserable. Kemp *et al.* (2006) identified a correlation between warm and dependable parental guidance and supervision and a reduced incidence of delinquency among adolescents. The delinquent behaviour of adolescents affected their closest companions, but not the other way around. Santor *et al.* (2000) discovered that peer likeness and peer pressure are strongly connected with children's risky behaviours.

Clasen & Brown found in 1985 that apparent companion pressure was substantial. Strain to engage in improper behaviour was observed to increase with grade level, whereas strain to conform to peer standards decreased. Claesens *et al.* (1986) discovered that teenagers face little peer pressure to engage in inappropriate behaviour.

CONCLUSION

Control within the Family, Defense, Discipline for Errors, Similarity, Social Restriction, Reward for a Great Deed, Difficulty of Honors, Support, Dismissal, and Leniency are elements of the home environment that significantly affect the profound development of children. Similarly, peer collaboration was revealed to have significant strengths in relation to the personal development of adolescents. Simultaneously parents must participate in their children's public activities and correspondence. They play a vital role in instilling in him the confidence to explain his opinions accurately, and they must provide him with

adequate open doors at home. Their assumptions and inspiration motivate a child to acquire notable informative skills. Thus, a home environment should be developed that encourages a child to seek his parents' guidance in the event of any problem he encounters in his daily life and allows a child to collaborate with his parents without inhibition.

REFERENCES

1. A. Kumar. (2015). Emotional Stability of Senior Secondary School Students In Relation To Their Home Environment. *International Journal of Core Engineering & Management*, 2(4), 121-126.
2. Bansal, S., Thind, S. K., & Jaswal, S. (2006). Relationship between quality of home environment, locus of control and achievement motivation among high achiever urban female adolescents. *Journal of Human Ecology*, 19(4), 253-257.
3. Barnow, S., Lucht, M., & Freyberger, H. J. (2001). Influence of punishment, emotional rejection, child abuse, and broken home on aggression in adolescence: An examination of aggressive adolescents in Germany. *Psychopathology*, 34(4), 167-173.
4. Bessel, R. (2004). *Love is not a game: but you should & know odds torrance*. California Personhood Press.
5. Bhat, A., & Aminabhavi, V. (2011). Home environment and psychosocial competence of adolescents. *Journal of Psychology*, 2(1), 57-63.
6. Bhut, H. G., & Zalavadia, T. L. (2016). Emotional Maturity and Home Environment among College Student of Rajkot City. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 2348-5396.
7. Brendgen, M., Vitaro, F., & M. Bukowski, W. (2000). Deviant friends and early adolescents' emotional and behavioral adjustment. *Journal of research on adolescence*, 10(2), 173-189.
8. Brown, B. B., Clasen, D. R., & Eicher, S. A. (1986). Perceptions of peer pressure, peer conformity dispositions, and self-reported behavior among adolescents. *Developmental psychology*, 22(4), 521.
9. Burack, R. (1999). Teenage sexual behaviour: attitudes towards and declared sexual activity. *The British journal of family planning*, 24(4), 145-148.
10. Clasen, D. R., & Brown, B. B. (1985). The multidimensionality of peer pressure in adolescence. *Journal of youth and adolescence*, 14(6), 451-468.

11. Cole, L. C. (1944). A textbook of child development. *Mac Millan India Limited, New Delhi*, 101-102.
12. De Kemp, R. A., Scholte, R. H., Overbeek, G., & Engels, R. C. (2006). Early adolescent delinquency: The role of parents and best friends. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 33(4), 488-510.
13. Dixit, V., Kaur, G., & Shanwal, V. K. (2017). Emotional Intelligence in Indian Folklore. *Integrated Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(1), 1-8.
14. Erikson, H. E. (1994). *Identity, Youth and Crisis*. Norton, New York
15. Gardner, M., & Steinberg, L. (2005). Peer influence on risk taking, risk preference, and risky decision making in adolescence and adulthood: an experimental study. *Developmental psychology*, 41(4), 625.
16. Kaur, J., Rana, J. S., & Kaur, R. (2009). Home environment and academic achievement as correlates of self-concept among adolescents. *Studies on Home and Community Science*, 3(1), 13-17.
17. Kumar, S. (2014). Emotional maturity of adolescent students in relation to their family relationship. *International Research Journal of Social Sciences*, 3(3), 6-8.
18. Lai, K. W., & McBride-Chang, C. (2001). Suicidal ideation, parenting style, and family climate among Hong Kong adolescents. *International Journal of Psychology*, 36(2), 81-87.
19. Lashbrook, J. T. (2000). Fitting in: Exploring the emotional dimension of adolescent peer pressure. *Adolescence*, 35(140), 747.
20. Matheen, W. (2011). Parent child relationship and emotional maturity of city college girls. *Golden research thoughts*, 1(1), 1-4.
21. Maughan, A., & Cicchetti, D. (2002). Impact of child maltreatment and interadult violence on children's emotion regulation abilities and socioemotional adjustment. *Child development*, 73(5), 1525-1542.
22. Mondal, S., & Sutradhar, A. (2015). Effect of home environment on different dimensions of emotional maturity of adolescents. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 2(4), 6-13.
23. Rajeshwari, R. R., & Raj, S. J. M. (2017). Study on the impact of Environmental Factors on Emotional Maturity. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 19(5), 01-09.
24. Rawat, C., & Gulati, R. (2018). Influence of Parenting style on emotional and social maturity of Adolescents. *Integrated Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(2), 31-34.
25. Rawat, C., & Singh, R. (2016). A study of emotional maturity of adolescents with respect to their educational settings. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 49(3-2), 345-351.
26. Rawat, C., & Singh, R. (2017). Effect of family type on emotional maturity of adolescents. *Journal of Human Ecology*, 57(1-2), 47-52.
27. Rubin, K. H., Bukowski, W. M., & Parker, J. G. (2006). Peer interactions, relationships, and groups.
28. Sandhu, D., Singh, B., Tung, S., & Kundra, N. (2012). Adolescent identity formation, psychological well-being, and parental attitudes. *Pakistan Journal of Psychological Research*, 89-105.
29. Santor, D. A., Messervey, D., & Kusumakar, V. (2000). Measuring peer pressure, popularity, and conformity in adolescent boys and girls: Predicting school performance, sexual attitudes, and substance abuse. *Journal of youth and adolescence*, 29(2), 163-182.
30. Singh, R., Pant, K., & Valentina, L. (2014). Impact analysis: Family structure on social and emotional maturity of adolescents. *The Anthropologist*, 17(2), 359-365.
31. Sridevi, G., George, A. G., Sriveni, D., & Rangaswami, K. (2015). Learning disability and behavior problems among school going children. *Journal of Disability Studies*, 1(1), 4-9.
32. Sunitha, N. H. (2005). Academic learning environment of students from aided and unaided co-educational high schools. *Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Agriculture Sciences, Dharwad*.
33. Thakar, P. (2015). Home environment and parent child relationship among adolescents in reference to emotional maturity.
34. Yashoda, K., & Devi, T. K. (2016). Influence of Home Environment and type of school on Emotional Maturity of Adolescents. *International Journal of Environment, Ecology, Family and Urban Studies*, 6(4), 9-14.