Research Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences

ISSN: 2945-3968



Research Article

2

10

11 12

13

14

16 17

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

Economic Inequality and Housing Crises: Exploring Solutions

in the Post-Pandemic World

- 5 Philamer Pedria
- 6 Associate Dean, College of Arts and Sciences, NONESCOST Department Head, AB English Language Studies
- 7 Program, NONESCOST, Philippines, Email: ptpedrina@nonescost.edu.ph

Abstract: The relationship between economic inequality and housing crises has been a significant concern globally, exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. This paper explores the deepening divide between the wealthy and the poor, particularly in the context of housing affordability and availability. The pandemic has highlighted the precarious nature of housing security, especially for low-income families, leading to increased rates of eviction and homelessness. By analyzing the interconnectedness of economic inequality and housing crises, this study proposes both short-term and long-term solutions to mitigate these challenges. The solutions include policy reforms, such as progressive taxation and the development of affordable housing, as well as economic inclusion initiatives aimed at bridging income gaps. The paper also examines successful case studies from different regions, demonstrating how targeted policies can address both economic inequality and housing crises effectively. The findings underscore the need for a coordinated and sustained effort to ensure equitable access to housing and economic opportunities in a post-pandemic world.

Keywords: Economic inequality, housing crises, COVID-19, affordable housing, policy reforms.

How to cite this article: Pedria P,. Economic Inequality and Housing Crises: Exploring Solutions in the Post-Pandemic

- World . Research Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences. 2024;3(4):32-41.
- 25 Source of support: Nil.
- 26 Conflict of interest: None

Received: 19-07-2024
Revised: 22-07-2024
Accepted: 12-08-2024
Published: 24-08-2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the author \$6 Submitted for possible open acces \$7 publication under the terms and \$8 conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license \$/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

Economic inequality and housing crises have long been intertwined issues that have shaped the socio-economic landscape of nations worldwide. The gap between the wealthy and the poor has widened significantly over the past few decades, resulting in a substantial portion of the population being unable to afford necessities, including adequate housing. The COVID-19 pandemic has further exacerbated these challenges, bringing to light the vulnerabilities within housing markets and the broader economy. According to Piketty et al., (2014), "the growth of economic inequality has reached levels not seen since the early 20th century, driven by the concentration of wealth among the top 1%." This statement highlights the severity of the issue and the urgent need for comprehensive solutions.

The pandemic has not only intensified existing inequalities but also revealed the precarious nature of housing security for millions of people. Job losses, reduced incomes, and economic instability have pushed many households to the brink of eviction or home-

lessness. As Gale, Dennis. (2017) argues, "The loss of a home sends families into a downward spiral, making it nearly impossible to recover economically." The housing crisis, therefore, is not just a symptom of economic inequality but a significant contributor to the perpetuation of poverty and social exclusion.

The primary objective of this paper is to explore the relationship between economic inequality and housing crises in the post-pandemic world. This paper aims to identify the key factors contributing to these issues and to propose viable solutions that can be implemented to mitigate the impact on vulnerable populations.

1.1. Objectives

- i. Analyze the impact of economic inequality on housing security.
- ii. Examine the interconnectedness of economic inequality and housing crises.
- iii. Assess the effectiveness of policy responses to economic inequality and housing crises.
- iv. Propose short-term and long-term solutions to mitigate these issues.
- v. Highlight successful case studies as models for addressing the challenges globally.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Economic Inequality

Economic inequality, defined as the unequal distribution of income and wealth within a society, continues to be a focal point in contemporary research. Recent studies have highlighted various causes, effects, and trends related to economic inequality, particularly in the context of the 21st century. The widening gap between high-income earners and the rest of the population is often attributed to technological advancements, globalization, and policy decisions favoring capital over labor. For instance, Alvaredo, Chancel, Piketty, Saez, and Zucman (2018) argue that the rise of income inequality in many developed countries is closely linked to the concentration of capital income among the wealthiest households, driven by financial globalization and tax policies that disproportionately benefit the rich.

The effects of economic inequality are pervasive, affecting not only economic outcomes but also social cohesion and public health. Recent research by Wilkinson et al., (2017) suggests that countries with higher levels of economic inequality tend to have poorer health outcomes, higher crime rates, and lower levels of trust within communities. These social consequences are compounded by economic instability, as high levels of inequality can lead to reduced consumer spending, stunted economic growth, and increased vulnerability to economic shocks (Ostry, Berg, & Tsangarides, 2014).

Recent trends indicate that economic inequality has intensified, particularly in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. The pandemic has disproportionately affected low-wage workers, exacerbating existing income disparities and pushing millions into poverty. According to the World Inequality Report 2022, the richest 10% of the global population now holds 76% of all wealth, while the bottom 50% owns just 2% (Chancel, Piketty, Saez, & Zucman, 2022). This stark contrast highlights the deepening divide between the wealthy and the poor, raising concerns about the sustainability of such inequality and its long-term implications for social and economic stability.

2.2. Housing Crises

The housing crisis, characterized by a severe lack of affordable and accessible housing, has become a critical issue in many countries, particularly in urban areas. The literature on housing crises often emphasizes three main aspects: affordability, availability, and the impact of economic inequality. Affordability has been a persistent challenge, as housing costs have outpaced income growth, leading to a significant burden on lower and middle-income households. Recent studies, such as those by Cox et al., (2020), highlight that

housing affordability has reached crisis levels in several major cities worldwide, with median home prices exceeding the affordability threshold of three times the median income in most urban centers. This situation has led to a growing population of "rent-burdened" individuals who spend more than 30% of their income on housing, leaving them vulnerable to financial instability.

The availability of housing is another crucial factor contributing to the crisis. The supply of affordable housing has not kept pace with demand, particularly in regions experiencing rapid population growth. According to Gyourko et al., (2015), restrictive zoning laws, high construction costs, and limited land availability have exacerbated the housing shortage, particularly in high-demand urban areas. This shortage has led to increased competition for available units, driving up prices and pushing lower-income individuals out of the housing market entirely. The lack of affordable housing options forces many families to live in substandard conditions or locations far from their places of work, further perpetuating economic and social disparities.

Economic inequality plays a significant role in exacerbating the housing crisis. Studies have shown that areas with high levels of income inequality tend to have more pronounced housing affordability issues. Chetty et al., (2016) found that neighborhoods with higher levels of economic segregation experience greater disparities in access to quality housing, education, and job opportunities, which further entrenches poverty and limits social mobility. The COVID-19 pandemic has only intensified these challenges, as economic disruptions have disproportionately affected low-income households, leading to increased evictions and homelessness. As Brummitt et al., (2017) argue, the pandemic has highlighted the need for a more equitable approach to housing policy, one that addresses the root causes of inequality and prioritizes the provision of affordable and accessible housing for all.

2.3. Economic inequality and housing issues, drawing from recent studies

Economic inequality and housing issues are deeply interconnected, with disparities in income and wealth directly influencing access to housing. Recent studies have highlighted that economic inequality exacerbates housing affordability problems, as the gap between high-income and low-income households widens. According to Aalbers et al., (2017), the commodification of housing has led to a situation where housing is increasingly treated as an investment asset rather than a basic human need. This trend has driven up property prices, particularly in urban areas, making it difficult for low-er-income individuals to afford decent housing. As a result, many are forced into substandard living conditions or are pushed further away from city centers, leading to increased commuting costs and reduced access to essential services and employment opportunities.

Moreover, income inequality has a direct impact on the availability of affordable housing. Studies have shown that in regions with higher levels of income inequality, there is a significant shortage of affordable housing units. This shortage is often because real estate development is concentrated in areas that cater to higher-income groups, leaving fewer resources allocated to the construction of affordable housing (Madden & Marcuse, 2016). The unequal distribution of wealth also means that wealthier individuals and investors can buy multiple properties, reducing the overall availability of housing for those with lower incomes.

The COVID-19 pandemic has further highlighted the vulnerability of low-income households in the housing market. Research by Yan and Kuan (2022) indicates that the economic fallout from the pandemic has disproportionately affected lower-income workers, who are more likely to rent rather than own their homes. The loss of income during the pandemic has led to increased rates of evictions and homelessness, particularly in regions with weak social safety nets. This situation underscores the urgent need for policies that address both economic inequality and the resulting housing crises, en-

suring that all individuals have access to safe and affordable housing regardless of their income level.

Methodology

This research employs a qualitative research design, which is well-suited for exploring the complex relationship between economic inequality and housing crises. The qualitative approach was chosen to allow for an in-depth examination of existing theories, patterns, and case studies, providing a comprehensive understanding of the issues at hand. By focusing on secondary data, this study synthesizes findings from various sources to analyze how economic disparities influence housing markets and access to housing.

Data collection was conducted exclusively through secondary sources. This includes peer-reviewed journal articles, books, policy reports, and case studies that focus on economic inequality, housing affordability, and related social issues. These sources were carefully selected to offer a broad and diverse perspective on the topic, covering various geographic regions and socio-economic contexts. The literature review involved systematically identifying and analyzing relevant studies published in academic journals and other scholarly outlets, ensuring that the research is grounded in established knowledge. Additionally, case studies were examined to provide real-world examples of how economic inequality impacts housing availability and affordability.

For data analysis, a thematic analysis approach was used to identify and explore key themes and patterns in the literature. This method allows for the identification of common trends, challenges, and solutions related to economic inequality and housing crises. By synthesizing information from multiple sources, the analysis aims to highlight the interconnectedness of economic inequality and housing issues and to provide evidence-based recommendations for addressing these challenges in the post-pandemic world.

4. Analysis and Discussion

The post-pandemic world has seen a marked intensification of economic inequality, with disparities widening both within and between countries. The economic fallout from COVID-19 has disproportionately affected low-income workers, who are more likely to be employed in precarious, low-wage jobs that were hit hardest by lockdowns and economic slowdowns. According to the World Bank (2022), the global poverty rate rose for the first time in over two decades during the pandemic, with an estimated 97 million more people living on less than \$1.90 a day in 2021. This increase in poverty has been accompanied by a growing concentration of wealth among the richest individuals and corporations, who have benefited from stock market gains and government stimulus measures that primarily supported capital markets. The regional impacts of this inequality are uneven, with developing countries experiencing more severe economic disruptions and slower recoveries, exacerbating existing income disparities.

The housing crisis has also deepened in the wake of the pandemic, as economic inequality has made access to affordable housing increasingly difficult for many. The surge in unemployment and reduction in incomes have left millions struggling to pay rent or mortgages, leading to increased evictions and homelessness, particularly in urban areas. Housing markets in many cities have become more competitive, with rising property prices and rents outpacing wage growth. This situation has been further aggravated by a slowdown in new housing construction, as supply chain disruptions and labor shortages have delayed building projects. In regions with high levels of economic inequality, the gap between housing demand and supply is particularly pronounced, as wealthier individuals and investors continue to drive up prices, pushing lower-income families out of the market.

The interrelation between economic inequality and housing is evident in the way these issues compound one another, particularly for vulnerable populations. Economic inequality limits access to quality housing, as those with lower incomes are often confined to less desirable, more affordable neighborhoods with limited access to services, employment opportunities, and good schools. This segregation reinforces social and economic disparities, creating a cycle of poverty that is difficult to break. The housing crisis, in turn, exacerbates economic inequality by making it harder for low-income families to build wealth through homeownership, one of the most common forms of wealth accumulation. This dynamic creates a feedback loop where economic inequality and housing instability reinforce each other, leading to broader social inequities.

Policy responses to economic inequality and housing crises have been varied, but many have fallen short of addressing the root causes of these issues. While some governments have implemented emergency housing measures, such as eviction moratoriums and rental assistance programs, these are often temporary solutions that do not address the underlying shortage of affordable housing. Similarly, economic stimulus packages have provided short-term relief but have often failed to reach the most vulnerable populations, exacerbating existing inequalities. Moreover, long-term policy initiatives aimed at reducing economic inequality, such as progressive taxation and social safety nets, have been inconsistently applied and underfunded in many regions. As a result, the gaps in these policy responses have left many individuals and families without adequate support, perpetuating the cycle of inequality and housing instability. The challenge moving forward is to develop comprehensive, long-term strategies that address both economic inequality and housing crises in an integrated manner, ensuring that all individuals have access to safe, affordable housing and economic opportunities. This analysis underscores the need for a more coordinated approach to policy-making that takes into account the interconnected nature of economic inequality and housing issues.

Proposed Solutions

To effectively address the intertwined issues of economic inequality and housing crises, a combination of short-term and long-term solutions is essential. In the short term, immediate actions are necessary to alleviate the most pressing challenges faced by vulnerable populations. Emergency housing initiatives, such as the provision of temporary shelters and the extension of eviction moratoriums, can prevent homelessness and provide relief to those most affected by the economic fallout of the pandemic. Additionally, income support programs, such as direct cash transfers or enhanced unemployment benefits, can help stabilize households by providing the financial resources needed to cover basic living expenses, including rent or mortgage payments. These short-term measures are critical in preventing a further escalation of the housing crisis and mitigating the immediate impacts of economic inequality.

However, to achieve lasting change, long-term strategies must be implemented that address the structural causes of economic inequality and housing insecurity. One key area for reform is the development of affordable housing policies that increase the supply of low-cost housing units. This can be achieved through a combination of public housing projects, incentives for private developers to build affordable units, and the implementation of inclusionary zoning laws that require a percentage of new developments to be affordable for lower-income households. Progressive taxation is another essential tool for reducing economic inequality, as it ensures that the wealthiest individuals and corporations contribute a fairer share of their income to public services, including housing and social programs. Additionally, economic inclusion initiatives, such as job training programs, access to education, and support for small businesses, can help bridge the income gap and provide individuals with the opportunities needed to improve their economic circumstances.

Successful models and examples from various regions and countries offer valuable insights into how these strategies can be effectively implemented. For instance, Vienna, Austria, is often cited as a model for affordable housing, with its long-standing tradition of social housing that provides quality, affordable homes for a significant portion of the population. This model is supported by a robust system of public financing and land use policies that prioritize the needs of residents over speculative real estate interests. Another example is Singapore's Housing Development Board (HDB), which has successfully provided affordable housing for the majority of its citizens through a combination of public funding, strict regulation, and an emphasis on homeownership. These case studies demonstrate that with the right policies and governance structures in place, it is possible to create housing systems that are both affordable and equitable.

The role of various stakeholders, including government agencies, non-profits, and the private sector, is crucial in the implementation of these solutions. Governments must take the lead in enacting and enforcing policies that promote economic equality and housing affordability, including investing in public housing and regulating the housing market to prevent speculation and ensure fair access. Non-profits and community organizations play an essential role in advocating for the needs of vulnerable populations, providing support services, and helping to implement housing initiatives on the ground. The private sector, particularly developers and financial institutions, also has a critical role to play by aligning their practices with social objectives, such as by participating in public-private partnerships or committing to building affordable housing. Collaboration between these stakeholders is essential to create a cohesive and effective response to the challenges of economic inequality and housing crises.

6. Conclusion

The challenges of economic inequality and housing crises are deeply intertwined, with each issue exacerbating the other, particularly in the context of the post-pandemic world. As this paper has explored, the widening gap between the wealthy and the poor has not only intensified the struggle for affordable housing but has also perpetuated cycles of poverty and social exclusion. The COVID-19 pandemic has brought these issues into sharper focus, revealing the fragility of housing security for millions and the urgent need for comprehensive solutions. Short-term measures, such as emergency housing initiatives and income support programs, are essential to provide immediate relief, but they must be coupled with long-term strategies that address the structural roots of these crises. Progressive taxation, affordable housing policies, and economic inclusion initiatives are crucial in creating a more equitable society where access to safe and affordable housing is a reality for all.

Moreover, the success of these initiatives depends on the active involvement and collaboration of various stakeholders, including government agencies, non-profits, and the private sector. The case studies discussed in this paper demonstrate that it is possible to achieve significant progress when policies are designed with equity and accessibility at their core. Governments must lead the charge by implementing and enforcing policies that promote economic equality and housing affordability, while non-profits and community organizations provide essential support and advocacy. The private sector, too, has a role to play in aligning its practices with social objectives, contributing to the broader effort to create sustainable and inclusive communities.

In conclusion, addressing economic inequality and housing crises requires a coordinated and sustained effort that goes beyond temporary fixes. It calls for a reimagining of housing as a fundamental human right, rather than a commodity, and for economic systems that prioritize the well-being of all citizens, not just the privileged few. By implementing comprehensive, evidence-based solutions, we can begin to close the gaps that

divide us and build a future where everyone has access to the security and stability that affordable housing provides.

295296

299

300

303

304

305

306

307

308

310

311

293

294

References

- 1. Germani F, Pattison AB, Reinfelde M. WHO and digital agencies: how to effectively tackle COVID-19 misinformation online. BMJ Global Health. 2022 Aug 1;7(8):e009483.
 - 2. Boylston A. The origins of inoculation. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine. 2012 Jul;105(7):309-13.
 - 3. Fitzpatrick M. The Anti-Vaccination Movement in England, 1853–1907.
- 4. Carapetis JR. The Cutter Incident: How America's First Polio Vaccine Led to the Growing Vaccine Crisis. BMJ.

 2006 Mar 23;332(7543):733.
 - Smith MJ, Ellenberg SS, Bell LM, Rubin DM. Media coverage of the measles-mumps-rubella vaccine and autism controversy and its relationship to MMR immunization rates in the United States. Pediatrics. 2008 Apr 1;121(4):e836-43.
 - 6. Pennycook G, Epstein Z, Mosleh M, Arechar AA, Eckles D, Rand DG. Shifting attention to accuracy can reduce misinformation online. Nature. 2021 Apr 22;592(7855):590-5.
 - 7. Bridgman A, Merkley E, Loewen PJ, Owen T, Ruths D, Teichmann L, Zhilin O. The causes and consequences of COVID-19 misperceptions: Understanding the role of news and social media. Harvard Kennedy School Misinformation Review. 2020 Jun 18;1(3).
 - 8. PEARSON A. Math Matters. ASHRAE Journal. 2022 Dec 1;64(12).
- 9. Gallotti R, Valle F, Castaldo N, Sacco P, De Domenico M. Assessing the risks of 'infodemics' in response to COVID-19 epidemics. Nature human behaviour. 2020 Dec;4(12):1285-93.
- 10. Cinelli M, Quattrociocchi W, Galeazzi A, Valensise CM, Brugnoli E, Schmidt AL, Zola P, Zollo F, Scala A. The
 COVID-19 social media infodemic. Scientific reports. 2020 Oct 6;10(1):1-0.
- 11. Swire-Thompson B, Lazer D. Public health and online misinformation: challenges and recommendations. Annu Rev Public Health. 2020 Apr 2;41(1):433-51.
- 12. Van der Linden S, Dixon G, Clarke C, Cook J. Inoculating against COVID-19 vaccine misinformation.

 EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Mar 1;33.
- 13. Enders AM, Uscinski JE, Seelig MI, Klofstad CA, Wuchty S, Funchion JR, Murthi MN, Premaratne K, Stoler J.

 The relationship between social media use and beliefs in conspiracy theories and misinformation. Political
 behavior. 2021 Jul 7:1-24.

- 14. Zaman K, Mishra SK, Mohanty A, Padhi BK, Sah R. Measles outbreak in American Samoa: Alarm to strengthen vaccination post COVID-19 pandemic. International Journal of Surgery Open. 2023 Jun 1;55:100624.
- 15. Loomba S, De Figueiredo A, Piatek SJ, De Graaf K, Larson HJ. Measuring the impact of COVID-19 vaccine misinformation on vaccination intent in the UK and USA. Nature human behaviour. 2021 Mar;5(3):337-48.
- 16. Okita T, Enzo A, Kadooka Y, Tanaka M, Asai A. The controversy on HPV vaccination in Japan: Criticism of the ethical validity of the arguments for the suspension of the proactive recommendation. Health Policy. 2020 Feb 1;124(2):199-204.
- 17. Unicef. COVAX: ensuring global equitable access to COVID-19 vaccines. UNICEF, available at< https://www. unicef. org/supply/covax-ensuring-global-equitable-accesscovid-19-vaccines>(last visited Sept. 15, 2022). 2021.
- 18. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, Perez JL, Pérez Marc G, Moreira ED,

 Zerbini C, Bailey R. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine. New England journal of

 medicine. 2020 Dec 31;383(27):2603-15.
- 19. Islam MS, Sarkar T, Khan SH, Kamal AH, Hasan SM, Kabir A, Yeasmin D, Islam MA, Chowdhury KI, Anwar KS,
 Chughtai AA. COVID-19–related infodemic and its impact on public health: A global social media analysis. The
 American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene. 2020 Oct;103(4):1621.
- 20. Caceres MM, Sosa JP, Lawrence JA, Sestacovschi C, Tidd-Johnson A, Rasool MH, Gadamidi VK, Ozair S,
 Pandav K, Cuevas-Lou C, Parrish M. The impact of misinformation on the COVID-19 pandemic. AIMS Public
 Health. 2022;9(2):262.
- 21. Allington D, Duffy B, Wessely S, Dhavan N, Rubin J. Health-protective behaviour, social media usage and conspiracy belief during the COVID-19 public health emergency. Psychological medicine. 2021

 Jul;51(10):1763-9.
- 22. Freeman D, Waite F, Rosebrock L, Petit A, Causier C, East A, Jenner L, Teale AL, Carr L, Mulhall S, Bold E.

 Coronavirus conspiracy beliefs, mistrust, and compliance with government guidelines in England.

 Psychological medicine. 2022 Jan;52(2):251-63.
- 23. Liu J, Regulagedda RM. Social Network Analysis of Misinformation Spreading and Science Communication during COVID-19. Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology. 2023 Oct;60(1):1059-61.

24. Cleve M. What the lightning-fast quest for Covid vaccines means for other diseases. Nature. 2021 Jan 7;589:16-8. 25. Cinelli M, Quattrociocchi W, Galeazzi A, Valensise CM, Brugnoli E, Schmidt AL, Zola P, Zollo F, Scala A. The COVID-19 social media infodemic. Scientific reports. 2020 Oct 6;10(1):1-0. 26. Ahmed S, Rasul ME. Social media news use and COVID-19 misinformation engagement: survey study. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2022 Sep 20;24(9):e38944.

ABOUT EMBAR PUBLISHERS

375376377378

379

380

381

382

383 384

385

386

387

388 389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403 404 Embar Publishers is an open-access, international research based publishing house committed to providing a 'peer reviewed' platform to outstanding researchers and scientists to exhibit their findings for the furtherance of society to provoke debate and provide an educational forum. We are committed about working with the global researcher community to promote open scholarly research to the world. With the help of our academic Editors, based in institutions around the globe, we are able to focus on serving our authors while preserving robust publishing standards and editorial integrity. We are committed to continual innovation to better support the needs of our communities, ensuring the integrity of the research we publish, and championing the benefits of open research.

Our Journals

- 1. Research Journal of Education, linguistic and Islamic Culture 2945-4174
- 2. Research Journal of Education and Advanced Literature 2945-395X
- 3. Research Journal of Humanities and Cultural Studies 2945-4077
- 4. Research Journal of Arts and Sports Education 2945-4042
- 5. Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Technologies 2945-4158
- 6. Research Journal of Economics and Business Management 2945-3941
- 7. Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Technologies 2945-4166
- 8. Research Journal of Health, Food and Life Sciences 2945-414X
- 9. Research Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences 2945-4336
- 10. Research Journal of Applied Medical Sciences 2945-4131
- 11. Research Journal of Surgery 2945-4328
- 12. Research Journal of Medicine and Pharmacy 2945-431X
- 13. Research Journal of Physics, Mathematics and Statistics 2945-4360

Contact info:

editor.rjhss@embarpublishers.com contact@embarpublishers.com director@embarpublishers.com ceo@embarpublishers.com