Review Article

Mental Health and Social Isolation: Understanding the Effects of Prolonged Lockdowns and Social Distancing

Dr. Onuma Suphattanakul

Faculty of Liberal Arts, Rajamangala University of Technology Srivijaya, Thailand

Email: onuma.s@rmutsv.ac.th

Abstract: The COVID-19 pandemic, while primarily a physical health crisis, has also led to a significant global mental health challenge, particularly due to the prolonged lockdowns and social isolation measures implemented to curb the spread of the virus. This study explores the psychological impacts of these measures, focusing on increased levels of anxiety, depression, and loneliness across various demographic groups. By reviewing secondary data from academic journals, reports, and previous studies, the research highlights the disproportionate effects on vulnerable populations, including the elderly, adolescents, and individuals with pre-existing mental health conditions. The study also examines the role of digital communication and social media as coping mechanisms, noting their dual impact as both beneficial and potentially harmful. The findings underscore the urgent need for integrating mental health considerations into public health strategies, particularly during pandemics, to ensure a holistic approach to health that addresses both physical and mental well-being. The study concludes with recommendations for targeted mental health interventions and the development of resilient support systems to better prepare for future public health crises.

Keywords: Mental health, social isolation, prolonged lockdowns, digital communication, public health strategy.

How to cite this article: Suphattanakul O, Mental Health and Social Isolation: Understanding the Effects of Prolonged Lockdowns and Social Distancing. **Research Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences. 2024;3(4):42-51.**

Source of support: Nil.

Conflict of interest: None

1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic, caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, emerged in late 2019 and quickly spread across the globe, leading to an unprecedented public health crisis. The World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 a pandemic on March 11, 2020, as the virus rapidly spread across continents, infecting millions and causing significant mortality (World Health Organization, 2020). Governments worldwide responded with various public health measures to curb the spread of the virus, including the implementation of lockdowns and social distancing protocols. These measures, although necessary to prevent the healthcare systems from being overwhelmed, brought about significant changes to daily life, with profound social and economic consequences. Lockdowns, characterized by the restriction of movement and the closure of non-essential businesses, were implemented in varying degrees across different regions. In many countries, these lockdowns lasted for several months, resulting in people being confined to their homes for extended periods. Social distancing, another key preventive measure, involved maintaining physical distance from others to reduce the spread of the virus. This measure led to the suspension of in-person interactions, the closure of educational institutions, and a shift to remote work for many employees

(Brooks et al., 2020). While these measures were critical in reducing the transmission of the virus, they also disrupted social networks and normal routines, which are essential for mental well-being.

The prolonged nature of these interventions highlighted the trade-off between physical health and mental health. Research indicated that the social isolation induced by lockdowns and social distancing had significant psychological impacts, leading to an increase in mental health issues such as anxiety, depression, and stress (Pfefferbaum & North, 2020). The pandemic not only exposed the vulnerabilities in public health systems but also underscored the importance of addressing the mental health needs of populations during times of crisis.

Research Problem

The COVID-19 pandemic, while primarily a physical health crisis, has also triggered a significant mental health crisis, largely due to the prolonged lockdowns and enforced social isolation. As countries implemented strict measures to contain the virus, many individuals found themselves confined to their homes for extended periods, leading to a notable increase in mental health issues worldwide. The disruption of daily routines, financial uncertainties, fear of illness, and the loss of social connections contributed to rising levels of anxiety, depression, and stress, even among those who had no prior history of mental health challenges. Social isolation, a key component of lockdown strategies, further intensified these mental health problems. Human beings thrive on social interactions, which are essential for maintaining emotional well-being. The sudden absence of face-to-face interactions, the inability to engage in community activities, and the disruption of support systems led to feelings of loneliness and isolation. These feelings are well-known risk factors for mental health decline. The psychological impact of social isolation was particularly severe for vulnerable populations, such as the elderly, individuals living alone, and those with pre-existing mental health conditions. The complex relationship between social isolation and mental health highlights the urgent need to address the mental health crisis that has emerged as a consequence of the pandemic.

Research Objectives

To understand the effects of prolonged lockdowns on mental health.

To explore the impact of social distancing on social isolation.

Significance of the Study

This study is of critical importance as it addresses the emerging mental health crisis precipitated by prolonged lockdowns and social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic. By examining the mental health impacts of these measures, the study provides valuable insights into the psychological consequences that have affected millions of people worldwide. Understanding the significance of this issue is essential for public health officials, policymakers, and mental health professionals as they work to mitigate the long-term effects of the pandemic on mental well-being. The study also contributes to the broader discourse on public health strategies during pandemics and other crises. While lockdowns and social distancing were necessary to control the spread of COVID-19, the unintended consequences on mental health highlight the need for a more balanced approach in future public health responses. By identifying the specific mental health challenges associated with prolonged social isolation, this study can inform the development of more comprehensive intervention strategies that address both physical and mental health needs. Furthermore, the findings of this study could have significant implications for future mental health services. As the world continues to deal with the aftereffects of the pandemic, there is a pressing need to enhance mental health support systems, particularly for vulnerable populations. The insights gained from this research can guide the creation of targeted mental health interventions and support networks that can help individuals cope with the psychological toll of social isolation. In this way, the study not only adds to the academic understanding of mental health during crises but also has practical implications for improving public health outcomes.

Importance of the study in the context of future public health measures

The importance of this study lies in its potential to shape future public health measures by emphasizing the necessity of integrating mental health considerations into pandemic response strate-

gies. The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted how public health interventions, such as lockdowns and social distancing, although essential for controlling virus transmission, can significantly impact mental well-being. As governments and health authorities plan for future pandemics or other large-scale health emergencies, it is crucial to prioritize mental health alongside physical health in public health policy development (Holmes et al., 2020).

This study provides evidence of the psychological consequences of prolonged social isolation, offering valuable lessons for future crisis management. By understanding the mental health impacts of lockdowns, policymakers can design more balanced approaches that protect both physical and mental health. For instance, future public health measures could include provisions for maintaining social connections, even during periods of physical distancing, through safe, alternative means such as digital communication platforms or small, controlled social gatherings (Galea, Merchant, & Lurie, 2020). This approach could help mitigate the feelings of loneliness and isolation that have been linked to increased rates of anxiety, depression, and other mental health issues during the COVID-19 pandemic. Moreover, the study's findings can inform the allocation of resources during future health crises. Recognizing the strain that prolonged isolation places on mental health, future public health strategies could ensure that adequate mental health services are available and accessible to all, particularly vulnerable populations. This includes not only the availability of mental health professionals but also the provision of community-based support systems and the promotion of mental health awareness (Pfefferbaum & North, 2020).

Potential contributions to mental health interventions

This study has the potential to significantly contribute to the development and refinement of mental health interventions during and after public health crises. By exploring the mental health impacts of prolonged lockdowns and social isolation, the research provides critical insights that can inform the design of targeted mental health interventions. One of the key contributions is the identification of specific mental health challenges, such as increased anxiety, depression, and stress, that arise from enforced social isolation. These findings can guide the creation of tailored interventions aimed at addressing these issues, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of mental health support during pandemics and similar crises (Galea, Merchant, & Lurie, 2020).

Furthermore, the study highlights the importance of early intervention and preventive measures in mitigating the psychological impact of social isolation. Evidence from the research can support the integration of mental health services into broader public health strategies, ensuring that mental health is not an afterthought but a central component of crisis response plans. This could include the development of community-based support systems, telehealth services, and digital mental health tools that can provide timely and accessible care to those affected by isolation (Holmes et al., 2020). Additionally, the study's findings may influence policy decisions regarding the allocation of resources for mental health care during emergencies. By demonstrating the long-term mental health consequences of social isolation, the research can advocate for sustained investment in mental health infrastructure, training for mental health professionals, and public awareness campaigns that promote mental well-being. These contributions are crucial for building resilience in communities and ensuring that mental health interventions are robust enough to handle future public health challenges (Pfefferbaum & North, 2020).

Literature Review

Mental Health during Pandemics

Throughout history, pandemics have not only posed significant physical health challenges but have also led to widespread mental health crises. During the 1918 influenza pandemic, often referred to as the Spanish flu, reports documented increased cases of anxiety, depression, and other mental health disorders among the affected populations. The fear of infection, combined with the grief of losing loved ones and the economic hardships caused by the pandemic, led to a substantial psychological burden (Mamelund, 2012). Similarly, during the HIV/AIDS pandemic in the 1980s and 1990s, many individuals experienced significant mental health challenges, including stigma-related stress, anxiety, and depression, particularly in communities hardest hit by the virus (Ciesla & Roberts, 2001). These historical examples demonstrate that pandemics often have a dual impact: while the immediate focus is on controlling the spread of disease, the long-term psychological effects can be profound and widespread.

The COVID-19 pandemic has been no exception to the historical pattern of pandemics causing significant mental health issues. A rapidly growing body of literature has documented the mental health impacts of COVID-19, highlighting increased rates of anxiety, depression, stress, and other psychological conditions. A meta-analysis of studies conducted during the early stages of the pandemic found that the global prevalence of anxiety was approximately 31.9%, while depression was reported at 33.7% among general populations (Salari et al., 2020). These elevated levels of mental distress were attributed to factors such as prolonged lockdowns, social isolation, fear of the virus, and economic instability.

Further studies have explored the specific mental health challenges faced by different demographic groups during the COVID-19 pandemic. For instance, research has shown that healthcare workers, who were on the front lines of the pandemic, experienced high levels of burnout, anxiety, and depression due to the intense workload, fear of infection, and witnessing the suffering of patients (Lai et al., 2020). Similarly, older adults, who were at a higher risk of severe illness from COVID-19, experienced heightened levels of anxiety and depression due to social isolation and concerns about their health (Santini et al., 2020). The mental health impact on children and adolescents has also been significant, with studies reporting increased levels of anxiety, depression, and behavioral issues as a result of school closures and the disruption of social activities (Loades et al., 2020).

The ongoing nature of the COVID-19 pandemic continues to shape the mental health landscape, with emerging research focusing on the long-term psychological effects, including post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and other chronic mental health conditions. These studies underscore the need for continued attention to mental health during and after the pandemic, emphasizing the importance of early intervention and the integration of mental health services into public health strategies.

Social Isolation and Mental Well-being

Social isolation, characterized by the absence of social interactions and the lack of engagement with family, friends, and the community, has been shown to have profound psychological effects. Numerous studies have documented the negative impact of social isolation on mental health, particularly its association with increased risks of depression, anxiety, and stress. When individuals are isolated from their social networks, they often experience feelings of loneliness, which is a significant predictor of mental health decline. The lack of social support can exacerbate these feelings, leading to a sense of helplessness and hopelessness, which are common precursors to depressive symptoms (Hawkley & Cacioppo, 2010). Moreover, prolonged social isolation has been linked to cognitive decline and a heightened risk of developing conditions such as dementia, particularly in older adults (Cacioppo & Hawkley, 2009). The psychological burden of isolation is not only limited to the elderly but also affects younger individuals, as evidenced during the COVID-19 pandemic, where social distancing measures led to widespread reports of increased mental health issues across all age groups.

Social connections play a crucial role in maintaining and promoting mental health. Strong social networks provide emotional support, reduce stress, and enhance overall well-being. Social interactions, whether through family, friends, or community involvement, contribute to a sense of belonging and purpose, which are essential components of mental health (Umberson & Montez, 2010). Research has consistently shown that individuals with robust social connections are less likely to experience mental health issues such as depression and anxiety. Social support acts as a buffer against the negative effects of stress and can improve resilience in the face of adversity (Cohen & Wills, 1985). Additionally, social connections are vital for the development and maintenance of cognitive functions, particularly in older adults, where active engagement with others has been shown to slow the progression of cognitive decline and reduce the risk of dementia (Fratiglioni et al., 2004). The protective effects of social connections are so significant that they have been likened to other critical health behaviors such as exercising and maintaining a healthy diet, highlighting the importance of fostering and maintaining social relationships for mental well-being.

Prolonged Lockdowns and Their Consequences

Extended lockdowns, implemented as a critical measure to control the spread of COVID-19, have had significant and far-reaching impacts on mental health. These prolonged periods of restricted

movement and social isolation have exacerbated feelings of loneliness, anxiety, and depression among many individuals. The disruption of daily routines, loss of income, and uncertainty about the future have further contributed to psychological distress. Studies have shown that individuals subjected to extended lockdowns are more likely to report symptoms of stress, anxiety, and depression compared to those not under such restrictions (Brooks et al., 2020). The constant exposure to distressing news, coupled with limited access to coping resources such as social support, physical exercise, and mental health services, has heightened the vulnerability to mental health disorders. For many, the lack of social interaction and the inability to engage in regular activities have led to a sense of helplessness and loss of control, further deepening the psychological toll (Torales, O'Higgins, Castaldelli-Maia, & Ventriglio, 2020).

The mental health consequences of prolonged lockdowns have been observed across various countries, each facing unique challenges and outcomes. In Italy, one of the first countries to enforce a nationwide lockdown, studies reported high levels of psychological distress among the population. A survey conducted during the lockdown found that nearly 37% of respondents reported symptoms of PTSD, 17% reported depression, and 20% reported severe anxiety (Rossi et al., 2020). Similarly, in the United Kingdom, where lockdown measures were implemented and extended multiple times, there was a marked increase in mental health issues, particularly among young adults and those with pre-existing mental health conditions. Research indicated that rates of anxiety and depression nearly doubled during the lockdown period compared to pre-pandemic levels (Pierce et al., 2020).

In India, the sudden and stringent lockdown imposed in March 2020 led to widespread economic hardship and social disruption, severely affecting mental health. A study conducted in the country revealed that over 40% of respondents experienced anxiety, and about 12% reported symptoms of severe depression during the lockdown (Grover et al., 2020). The situation was further compounded by the lack of access to mental health services, especially in rural areas, highlighting the disparities in mental health care availability.

Coping Mechanisms and Resilience

During the prolonged periods of social isolation imposed by COVID-19 lockdowns, individuals employed a variety of coping mechanisms to manage the associated stress and maintain their mental well-being. One common strategy was the establishment of new routines or the modification of existing ones to create a sense of normalcy. For example, individuals turned to physical exercise, such as home workouts or outdoor activities like walking or running, which not only helped maintain physical health but also alleviated stress and anxiety (Maugeri et al., 2020). Additionally, many people engaged in hobbies or activities that provided a sense of achievement and purpose, such as cooking, gardening, reading, or learning new skills. These activities offered a distraction from the ongoing crisis and allowed individuals to focus on positive and productive tasks (Williams et al., 2020).

Mindfulness and relaxation techniques, including meditation, yoga, and deep-breathing exercises, also emerged as popular coping mechanisms. These practices have been shown to reduce stress, improve emotional regulation, and enhance overall well-being, making them valuable tools during times of uncertainty and isolation (Behan, 2020). Furthermore, maintaining a healthy diet and sleep routine was crucial for many individuals in managing their mental health during lockdowns, as these factors are closely linked to emotional and psychological well-being.

Digital communication and social media played a pivotal role in helping individuals cope with social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic. With in-person interactions severely restricted, many turned to digital platforms to maintain connections with family, friends, and colleagues. Video conferencing tools such as Zoom, Skype, and FaceTime became essential for staying in touch, allowing people to engage in virtual social gatherings, family reunions, and even celebrations such as birthdays and holidays (Tull et al., 2020). These digital interactions provided a sense of continuity and emotional support, helping to mitigate the feelings of loneliness and disconnection that often accompany social isolation.

Social media platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram also served as vital channels for communication and social interaction during the pandemic. These platforms allowed individuals to share their experiences, seek and offer support, and stay informed about the latest news and developments. While excessive use of social media has been linked to negative mental health outcomes, when used mindfully, these platforms offered a valuable means of maintaining social ties and accessing mental health resources during the lockdowns (Gao et al., 2020). Moreover, online

communities and support groups provided spaces where individuals could connect with others facing similar challenges, fostering a sense of belonging and resilience in the face of adversity.

3. Methodology

This study employs a qualitative research design, utilizing secondary data sources such as academic journals, reports, and previous studies to explore the impact of prolonged lockdowns and social isolation on mental health. The qualitative approach is appropriate as it allows for an in-depth understanding of complex psychological phenomena and the interpretation of experiences and perceptions documented in existing literature. By analyzing qualitative data from a range of secondary sources, the study aims to identify recurring themes, patterns, and insights into how social isolation and extended lockdowns have affected mental well-being across different populations.

Data collection methods involved a comprehensive review of existing literature on the mental health impacts of social isolation and lockdowns. This included collecting data from peer-reviewed journals, government reports, and studies conducted by mental health organizations.

Data analysis was conducted through thematic analysis, a qualitative method that involves identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns or themes within the data. This approach was chosen for its flexibility and ability to provide a rich, detailed account of the data. The analysis focused on synthesizing findings from multiple sources to conclude the broader impact of prolonged lockdowns and social isolation on mental health. Techniques included coding and categorizing data from the reviewed studies to identify key themes and trends.

Ethical considerations were carefully addressed, particularly regarding the use of secondary data. The study ensured that all data used were from credible and ethically conducted research. Confidentiality was maintained by not disclosing any personally identifiable information from the reviewed studies. In addition, potential emotional distress was considered in the interpretation and presentation of the findings, with a focus on providing a balanced and sensitive analysis of the mental health impacts of prolonged lockdowns and social isolation.

4. Results

The results of this study, based on an extensive review of secondary data from various academic journals, reports, and previous studies, reveal a significant and widespread impact of prolonged lockdowns and social isolation on mental health. Across different demographic groups, there is a clear pattern of increased psychological distress, including higher levels of anxiety, depression, and loneliness. The data consistently show that individuals who experienced extended periods of social isolation reported more severe mental health issues compared to those with greater social interaction, even in limited forms such as virtual communication. The review also reveals that while digital communication and social media provided some relief by maintaining social connections, these tools were not sufficient to fully mitigate the negative effects of social isolation. In some cases, excessive use of social media during lockdowns was associated with increased anxiety and stress, highlighting the complexity of these digital platforms as both a coping mechanism and a potential source of mental health strain.

5. Conclusion

The conclusion drawn from this study emphasizes the profound and far-reaching impact that prolonged lockdowns and social isolation have had on mental health across diverse populations. The extensive review of secondary data highlights that while these public health measures were necessary to curb the spread of COVID-19, they inadvertently contributed to a significant rise in psychological distress, including heightened levels of anxiety, depression, and loneliness. The findings demonstrate that certain demographic groups, particularly the elderly, adolescents, and individuals with pre-existing mental health conditions, were disproportionately affected, facing severe mental health challenges that require urgent attention. The study also underscores the dual role of digital communication and social media during the pandemic. While these tools provided essential means for maintaining social connections and offered some psychological relief, they were not sufficient to fully counteract the negative effects of social isolation. In some instances,

they even contributed to increased mental health issues, highlighting the need for a balanced approach to their use as coping mechanisms.

In conclusion, the study calls for the integration of mental health considerations into future public health strategies. The findings make it clear that addressing mental health should be a priority alongside physical health in any response to pandemics or similar crises. This includes the development of targeted interventions to support vulnerable populations, ensuring the availability of mental health services, and promoting resilient social networks. By learning from the psychological impacts observed during the COVID-19 pandemic, future responses can be better equipped to protect both the mental and physical well-being of populations during times of crisis.

References

1. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, Rubin GJ. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. The lancet. 2020 Mar 14;395(10227):912-20.

2. Pfefferbaum B, North CS. Mental health and the Covid-19 pandemic. New England journal of medicine. 2020 Aug 6;383(6):510-2.

3. Singh S, McNab C, Olson RM, Bristol N, Nolan C, Bergstrøm E, Bartos M, Mabuchi S, Panjabi R, Karan A, Abdalla SM. How an outbreak became a pandemic: a chronological analysis of crucial junctures and international obligations in the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic. The Lancet. 2021 Dec 4;398(10316):2109-24.

4. Galea S, Merchant RM, Lurie N. The mental health consequences of COVID-19 and physical distancing: the need for prevention and early intervention. JAMA internal medicine. 2020 Jun 1;180(6):817-8.

5. Holmes EA, O'Connor RC, Perry VH, Tracey I, Wessely S, Arseneault L, Ballard C, Christensen H, Silver RC, Everall I, Ford T. Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science. The lancet psychiatry. 2020 Jun 1;7(6):547-60.

6. Ciesla JA, Roberts JE. Meta-analysis of the relationship between HIV infection and risk for depressive disorders. American journal of psychiatry. 2001 May 1;158(5):725-30.

7. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, Wei N, Wu J, Du H, Chen T, Li R, Tan H. Factors associated with mental health outcomes among health care workers exposed to coronavirus disease 2019. JAMA network open. 2020 Mar 2;3(3):e203976-.

8. Loades ME, Chatburn E, Higson-Sweeney N, Reynolds S, Shafran R, Brigden A, Linney C, McManus MN, Borwick C, Crawley E. Rapid systematic review: the impact of social isolation and loneliness on the mental health of children and adolescents in the context of COVID-19. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2020 Nov 1;59(11):1218-39.

9. Luszczynska A. An implementation intentions intervention, the use of a planning strategy, and physical activity after myocardial infarction. Social science & medicine. 2006 Feb 1;62(4):900-8.

10. Salari N, Hosseinian-Far A, Jalali R, Vaisi-Raygani A, Rasoulpoor S, Mohammadi M, Rasoulpoor S, Khaledi-Paveh B. Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Globalization and health. 2020 Dec;16:1-1.

11. Santini ZI, Jose PE, Cornwell EY, Koyanagi A, Nielsen L, Hinrichsen C, Meilstrup C, Madsen KR, Koushede V. Social disconnectedness, perceived isolation, and symptoms of depression and anxiety among older Americans (NSHAP): a longitudinal mediation analysis. The Lancet Public Health. 2020 Jan 1;5(1):e62-70.

12. Cacioppo JT, Hawkley LC. Perceived social isolation and cognition. Trends in cognitive sciences. 2009 Oct 1;13(10):447-54.

13. Cohen S, Wills TA. Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. Psychological bulletin. 1985 Sep;98(2):310.

14. Fratiglioni L, Paillard-Borg S, Winblad B. An active and socially integrated lifestyle in late life might protect against dementia. The Lancet Neurology. 2004 Jun 1;3(6):343-53.

15. Hawkley LC, Cacioppo JT. Loneliness matters: A theoretical and empirical review of consequences and mechanisms. Annals of behavioral medicine. 2010 Oct 1;40(2):218-27.

16. Umberson D, Karas Montez J. Social relationships and health: A flashpoint for health policy. Journal of health and social behavior. 2010 Mar;51(1_suppl):S54-66.

17. Grover S, Sahoo S, Mehra A, Avasthi A, Tripathi A, Subramanyan A, Pattojoshi A, Rao GP, Saha G, Mishra KK, Chakraborty K. Psychological impact of COVID-19 lockdown: An online survey from India. Indian journal of psychiatry. 2020 Jul 1;62(4):354-62.

18. Pierce M, Hope H, Ford T, Hatch S, Hotopf M, John A, Kontopantelis E, Webb R, Wessely S, McManus S, Abel KM. Mental health before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal probability sample survey of the UK population. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2020 Oct 1;7(10):883-92.

19. Rossi R, Socci V, Pacitti F, Di Lorenzo G, Di Marco A, Siracusano A, Rossi A. Mental health outcomes among frontline and second-line health care workers during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic in Italy. JAMA network open. 2020 May 1;3(5):e2010185-.

20. Torales J, O'Higgins M, Castaldelli-Maia JM, Ventriglio A. The outbreak of COVID-19 coronavirus and its impact on global mental health. International journal of social psychiatry. 2020 Jun;66(4):317-20.

21. Behan C. The benefits of meditation and mindfulness practices during times of crisis such as COVID-19. Irish journal of psychological medicine. 2020 Dec;37(4):256-8.

22. Gao J, Zheng P, Jia Y, Chen H, Mao Y, Chen S, Wang Y, Fu H, Dai J. Mental health problems and social media exposure during COVID-19 outbreak. Plos one. 2020 Apr 16;15(4):e0231924.

23. Maugeri G, Castrogiovanni P, Battaglia G, Pippi R, D'Agata V, Palma A, Di Rosa M, Musumeci G. The impact of physical activity on psychological health during Covid-19 pandemic in Italy. Heliyon. 2020 Jun 1;6(6).

24. Tull MT, Edmonds KA, Scamaldo KM, Richmond JR, Rose JP, Gratz KL. Psychological outcomes associated with stay-at-home orders and the perceived impact of COVID-19 on daily life. Psychiatry research. 2020 Jul 1;289:113098.

25. Williams SN, Armitage CJ, Tampe T, Dienes K. Public perceptions and experiences of social distancing and social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic: A UK-based focus group study. BMJ open. 2020 Jul 1;10(7):e039334.

***

ABOUT EMBAR PUBLISHERS

Embar Publishers is an open-access, international research based publishing house committed to providing a 'peer reviewed' platform to outstanding researchers and scientists to exhibit their findings for the furtherance of society to provoke debate and provide an educational forum. We are committed about working with the global researcher community to promote open scholarly research to the world. With the help of our academic Editors, based in institutions around the globe, we are able to focus on serving our authors while preserving robust publishing standards and editorial integrity. We are committed to continual innovation to better support the needs of our communities, ensuring the integrity of the research we publish, and championing the benefits of open research.

Our Journals

1. **Research Journal of Education , linguistic and Islamic Culture - 2945-4174**
2. **Research Journal of Education and Advanced Literature – 2945-395X**
3. **Research Journal of Humanities and Cultural Studies - 2945-4077**
4. **Research Journal of Arts and Sports Education - 2945-4042**
5. **Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Technologies - 2945-4158**
6. **Research Journal of Economics and Business Management - 2945-3941**
7. **Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Technologies - 2945-4166**
8. **Research Journal of Health, Food and Life Sciences - 2945-414X**
9. **Research Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences -   2945-4336**
10. **Research Journal of Applied Medical Sciences - 2945-4131**
11. **Research Journal of Surgery - 2945-4328**
12. **Research Journal of Medicine and Pharmacy - 2945-431X**
13. **Research Journal of Physics, Mathematics and Statistics -   2945-4360**